

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

22850

7500

02/11/2005

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER
GREEN, ANTHONY J

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/759,206	KONEMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anthony J. Green	1755
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja	nuary 2005.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-8</u> is/are pobjected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment submitted on 04 January
 Claims 1-17 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hetzenegger et al (US Patent No. 5,472,494) for the reasons set forth in the previous office action and which are herein incorporated by reference.

Applicant argues that the instant claims are not met by the reference as the reference teaches salts and that the limitation of "the predominantly sterically stabilizing and/or electrostatically stabilizing substituent (i.e. X is/are bonded covalently to the perylene derivative)" is not taught by the reference.

It is the position of the examiner that applicant needs to provide evidence showing that the substituents on the structure of the reference are not covalently bonded to the perylene. Also with respect to "X" it is the position of the examiner that the electrostatically stabilizing substituent inherently has to have at least 1 non-covalent bond. Accordingly applicant needs to provide evidence that the perylene derivative of the reference differs from that which is instantly claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,206 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 4, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4 the phrase "the electrostatically stabilizing radicals" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 11 the phrases "the sterically stabilizing radicals" and "the electrostatically stabilizing radicals" appear to lack proper antecedent basis.

Applicant argues that the phrases in claims 4 and 11 find support in the claims from which they depend, namely because of the term "substituent" which is found in the claims from which they depend. It is the position of the examiner that these phrases lack proper antecedent basis as a "radical" is not necessarily the same as a "substituent". Applicant needs to use consist terminology.

In claim 12 the phrase "the pigments" lacks proper antecedent basis. This phrase suggests that more than one pigment is present however claim 9 does not positively recite more than one pigment. Applicant argues that the phrase finds support in the phrase "at least one organic pigment" which is found in claim 9. If this is the case then the phrase in claim 12 should read "the least one organic pigment" so that it is clear as to what is meant.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,206 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 6 is allowable over the art of record as the prior art fails to teach and/or fairly suggest the instantly claimed perylene derivative.

7. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J.

Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,206 Page 5

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony **1**. **G**reen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

ajg February 09, 2005